

Evaluation Criteria

Definition: OpenSFS Phase 1 Lustre Development

Solicitation Number CX589

Table of Contents

1. Basis for Selection	2
2. Evaluation Criteria	2
2.1. <i>Criterion 1: Technical Elements of Offeror's Proposal.....</i>	2
2.2. <i>Criterion 2: Staffing/Past performance.....</i>	2
2.3. <i>Criterion 3: Corporate Past Performance/Past Experience.</i>	2
2.4. <i>Criterion 4: Cost.....</i>	2
2.5. <i>Criterion 5: Timeliness.....</i>	3
<i>An Offeror's Deliverable Schedule will be evaluated for reasonableness and timeliness in relation to its Technical Proposal.....</i>	3
3. Evaluation Process	3

Evaluation Criteria

Definition: OpenSFS Phase 1 Lustre Development

Solicitation Number CX589

1. Basis for Selection

An award resulting from this solicitation will be made to the responsible Offeror that submits a proposal that is determined to provide the best value to OpenSFS, considering both technical merit and cost/price.

The technical evaluation process consists of the proposals being reviewed, evaluated and rated using a graded system that assesses the degree of compliance with the Technical Criteria requirements and the level-of-performance risk.

2. Evaluation Criteria

Proposals will be reviewed against the following criteria:

2.1. Criterion 1: Technical Elements of Offeror's Proposal

Technical Approach and Understanding of the Requirements of the Technical Specifications. OpenSFS will evaluate Offeror's high-level description and plan of how the work will be performed from a technical and management perspective. Each section of the technical specifications shall be addressed by the submittal. Offeror may elect to reply to either or both Technical Specifications entitled "Metadata Performance and Scalability" and "Space Quota Accounting and Enforcement". OpenSFS, at its discretion, may request a presentation to be conducted by the Offeror detailing technical elements of Offeror's proposal as part of the evaluation.

2.2. Criterion 2: Staffing/Past performance.

OpenSFS will evaluate Offeror's plan for providing staffing in support of the requirements of the technical specifications.

2.3. Criterion 3: Corporate Past Performance/Past Experience.

OpenSFS will evaluate the past experience of Offeror as it relates to the Technical Specifications or other similar work. Past performance will be reviewed to determine the level of success and customer satisfaction achieved on previous engagements as relayed by references and other information sources. We may solicit information concerning your record of performance and use it in our evaluation.

2.4. Criterion 4: Cost

An Offeror's Price Proposal will be evaluated for reasonableness and realism in relation to its Technical Proposal.

2.5. Criterion 5: Timeliness

An Offeror's Deliverable Schedule will be evaluated for reasonableness and timeliness in relation to its Technical Proposal.

3. Evaluation Process

The Offeror evaluation process consists of the proposals being reviewed, evaluated and rated using a graded system that assesses the degree of compliance with the technical requirements and the level-of-performance risk. The proposals will be graded against each of the technical evaluation factors, based on the following ratings:

Red - Offeror/Proposal fails to meet the performance or capability requirements or provides unacceptable risk to OpenSFS.

Yellow - Offeror/Proposal marginally meets the performance or capability requirements or provides moderate to high risk to OpenSFS.

Green - Offeror/Proposal meets the performance or capability requirements necessary for acceptable subcontract performance, and provides low to moderate risk to OpenSFS.

Blue - Offeror/Proposal exceeds the performance or capability requirements, offering superior subcontract performance, and provides little or no risk to OpenSFS.

We may also solicit information concerning your record of performance or other information we deem relevant to the proposal and use it in our evaluation.

Although the evaluation process and rating system is intended to provide OpenSFS with objective input regarding the relative merits of Offeror proposals, OpenSFS reserves the right to reject any proposal at its sole discretion.