



Layout Enhancement

John L. Hammond
High Performance Data Division

Agenda

- Design for replication
- Determine requirements for Crush
- Extend layout locking proto to allow changes while file is accessed (replication)
- Determine client side changes to request layout changes from within IO stack
- Determine protocol changes to support large layouts
- Discuss interoperability
- Address rolling upgrades

Design Layout Enhancements to support

Support for:

- Data on MDT
- Replication
- Online migration
- Very wide striping
- The future

Design only, no code to be delivered.

Data on MDT

- Need new placement hint

Use 1 bit from Imm_pattern

LOV_PATTERN_F_DATA_ON_MDT

- Need migration policy

Big files automagically kicked off MDT

Not clear this should go in layout

SEP!

Replication Container Layout

Define a new layout header

```
struct lov_md_repl_v1
    __u32 lrm_magic;                /* LRM_MAGIC_V1*/
    __u32 lrm_flags;
    __u32 lrm_size;
    __u16 lrm_entry_count;
    __u16 lrm_layout_gen;
    union {
        __u64 lrm_padding;
    } u;
```

Followed by entries of non-replicated layouts

Replication Container Layout (2)

```
struct lov_repl_md_entry_v1 {  
    __u32 lrme_id;           /* unique identifier of entry */  
    __u32 lrme_flags;        /* LRME_FL_STALE  
                             * LRME_FL_OFFLINE */  
    struct lu_extent lrme_extent; /* file extent for entry */  
    __u32 lrme_offset;       /* offset of entry data */  
    __u32 lrme_size;         /* size of entry data */  
    union {  
        __u64 lrme_padding;  
    } u;  
};
```

Replication Container Layout Comments

Make no assumptions about containees!

... except, no recursion.

Treat migration as a case of temporary
partial replication

Interaction of version and extent will be a
headache – must handle/avoid splitting

Other headaches?

Very Wide Striping

How many OSTs do we assume?

64K, other.

How many stripes do we assume?

2000, 64K, #OST.

$\text{Imm_v1} + 2000s < 48K \text{ (12pp)}$

$\text{Imm_v1} + 64Ks > 1.5M > \text{LNET_MTU}$

Very Wide Striping (2)

Can **mitigate** storage and network overhead using compression

- zlib compression ratios 1.8:1 to 2.4:1
- Any depends on #OSTs, #stripes, SEQ_MDT0
- Example (assume 64K OSTs, MDT0)
 $\text{Imm_v1} + 2000s < 48K \text{ (12pp)}$
 $\text{zlib.deflate}(\text{Imm_v1} + 2000s) < 17K$

Very Wide Striping (3)

Compact Striping Layout

from Xyratex* Architectural Priorities WP

- Pack a single FID for objects
- Bitmap (+ ...) for OST indices
- Pro: $\text{lov_compact_md} + 64\text{Ks} < 9\text{K}$
- Con: must derive individual object FIDs from OST index and single FID

Old client compatibility

Options for replication:

- Return error
- Fake v1 for old clients
- Client that does not understand containee will treat like unavailable replica
- Has implications for writability

References

Xyratex* Lustre* Architecture Priorities Overview

-



Thank You
john.hammond@intel.com